SUBJECT No. 33.

Abolition of the Central Nazir system.

Messrs. V. Raghavachar, Advocate, Nanjangud Taluk, and S. K. Balakrishna Rao, B A., LL.B,. Shimoga Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The public are now put to much trouble by the delay caused in the disposal of Civil cases, since the summonses, warrants and other processes are not properly served by the establishment attached to the Central Nazir's office. It, is therefore prayed that this system be abolished and all the Subordinate Courts given necessary process peons as was done formerly.

By a recent rule, the Chief Court have directed that advances should be made to Central Nazirs for meeting the batta expenses of witnesses. After the hearing the Court Nazir will not be in a position to return the unspent batta, as the same will not be returned with the process and as the Court Nazir will have to await the Central Nazir's monthly bill. The Central Nazirs may be placed under the Munsiffs, instead of under the District Judges, as the latter will have to be absent from the head-quarters and cannot accordingly exercise necessary control at all times.

Mr. Raghavachar presented a written representation on the subject. Mr. A. Anniah Pandit said that the Central Nazir system had not resulted in any savings in expenditure, and suggested that the establishment may be placed under the Munsiff.

The First Councillor said that under the present rules processes issued from different Courts for persons in the same or neighbouring villages could be served by the same man, and this secured economy. Further, that if large process establishments are placed under the Munsiffs, it often happens that they are liable to be employed on other than their legitimate work.

Reply of Government.—The representation will be referred to the Chief Court for consideration. It is understood at the same time that the new system has not yet been fully brought into working order, and that certain rules ensuring prompt service of processes have been newly framed.

SUBJECT No. 34.

Printing the records of cases when an appeal is presented to the Chief Court.

Mr. V. Raghavachar.

Summary of discussion.—Under the rules now in force the records of cases in which an appeal is preferred to the Chief Court have to be printed when the subject matter of the suit exceeds Rs. 300. It is prayed that this limit be raised to Rs. 1,000.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

SUBJECT No. 35.

Limit of time for the confirmation of sales of immovable property in Civil Courts.

Mr. Linge Gowda.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that the prescribed limit of time for the confirmation of sales of immovable property in Civil Courts be reduced to that prescribed for Revenue sales.

Withdrawn.